

Centre of Excellence in Exascale CFD

h-type adaptive mesh refinement for spectral element solvers. Application to Nek5000 and Neko



Centre of Excellence in Exascale CFD

Outline

- Introduction
- Spectral element method
- Adaptive mesh refinement
- Nek5000 implementation
- Current work on Neko





Introduction







- Presented material is a result of work supported by multiple EU projects : CRESTA, ExaFLOW and EXCELLERAT
- There are two current EU project focusing on Neko and adaptive mesh refinement: CEEC and EXCELLERAT P2
 - Relatively big project, so collaboration needed



14.12.2023



Centre of Excellence in Exascale CFD

Introduction





- Exascale simulations:
 - Pose problem for meshing
 - Unknown physics
 - Reliability of simulation data
- Specific goals
 - Resolve sensitive regions
 - Quality assessment/assurance of data
 - Reduced computational cost
- Required tools
 - Grid management library
 - Load balancing/grid repartitioning
 - Refinement indicator/error estimators
 - Solver for non-conformal meshes



Spectral element method

- Variational method, similar to FEM, using GL quadrature
- Domain partitioned into *E* highorder **hexahedral elements**

14.12.2023

- Trial and test functions represented as *N* thorder **tensor-product polynomials** within each element.
- Converges **exponentially fast** with *N* for smooth solutions.





Deville et al 2002

$$u(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} u_{ij}h_i(x)h_j(y), \ h_i(\xi_p) = \delta_{ip}, \ h_i \in \mathbb{P}_N$$

Spectral element method



 $h_i(r)$

Local tensor-product form (2D),

$$u(r,s) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N} u_{ij} h_i(r) h_j(s), \quad h_i(\xi_p) = \delta_{ip}, \ h_i \in \mathbb{P}_N$$

allows derivatives to be evaluated as matrix-matrix products

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial r}\Big|_{\xi_i,\xi_j} = \sum_{p=0}^{N} u_{pj} \frac{dh_p}{dr}\Big|_{\xi_i} = \sum_{p} \widehat{D}_{ip} u_{pj} =: D_r \underline{u}$$
Deville et al 2002
Matrix-free operator evaluation
$$D_r = (I \otimes I \otimes \widehat{D}) \qquad G_{rs} = J \circ B \circ \left(\frac{\partial r}{\partial x} \frac{\partial s}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial y} \frac{\partial s}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial r}{\partial z} \frac{\partial s}{\partial z}\right)$$
14.12.2023

Spectral element method



• Spectral element coefficients stored on element basis (\underline{u}_L not \underline{u})

 $\underline{w} = A\underline{u} = Q^T A_L Q \underline{u}, \qquad \underline{w}_L := Q \underline{w}, \qquad \underline{u}_L := Q \underline{u}$

$$\underline{w}_{L} = QQ^{T}A_{L}\underline{u}_{L}$$

$$\int_{\text{local work (matrix-matrix products)}} \int_{\text{nearest-neighbor (gather-scatter) exchange}} V_{L}$$

$$A_L := \begin{bmatrix} A^1 & & & \\ & A^2 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & A^E \end{bmatrix}$$





• Decouples complex physics (A_L) from communication (QQ^T)







14.12.2023

AMR approach:

- h-refinement number of degrees of freedom modified by splitting/merging elements
- p-refinement number of degrees of freedom modified by local variation of the polynomial order
- r-refinement constant number of degrees of freedom; resolution modified by redistribution of grid points





AMR approach:

 h-refinement - number of degrees of freedom modified by splitting/merging elements

P. F. Fisher, G. W. Kruse, F. Loth, **Spectral element methods for transitional flows in complex geometries**,

J. of Sci. Comput. 17 1, 81-98, 2002







- Geometrically nonconforming
- Parent-child communication between elements requires additional interpolation operator J
- Hanging nodes: information required





Fischer at al 2002









Functionally conforming

- J spectral interpolation
- Conforming vs. nonconforming matching condition on interface
- Conforming-space/nonconforming-mesh
 approach



Fischer at al 2002



- Gather-scatter operator
 - Helmholtz equation $J_L Q Q^T J_L^T$
 - Pressure preconditioner $J_L Q Q^T J_L^{-1}$
- Mass matrix diagonalization $\tilde{b} \coloneqq Q^T J_L^T B_L e_L$
- Coarse grid operator $\hat{A}_{ij} \coloneqq a_i^T A_L a_j$

Interpolation operator $J_{ij} = h_j(\xi_i^{cp})$

Inverse interpolation operator

$$\begin{aligned} (J^{-1})_{ij} &= \\ &= \begin{cases} h_j(\xi_i^{pc}) \ , if \ \xi_j^p \in \partial \Omega^p \cap \ \partial \Omega^c \\ 0 \ , \ otherwise \end{cases}$$





- Gather-scatter operator
 - Helmholtz equation $J_L Q Q^T J_L^T$
 - Pressure preconditioner $J_L Q Q^T J_L^{-1}$
- Mass matrix diagonalization $\tilde{b} \coloneqq Q^T J_L^T B_L e_L$
- Coarse grid operator $\hat{A}_{ij} \coloneqq a_i^T A_L a_j$





Examples of the base functions for coarse grid solver interpolation



0.75

- 0.50

- 0.25





- bla>500k cores on ALCF BG/Q Mira
- Full scale parallel efficiency 0.6 with two processes per core

14.12.2023

- General CFD solver:
 - incompressible and low Mach approximation
 - conjugate heat transfer
 - ...
- Long development history
 - First commercially-available code for distributed memory computers
 - Gordon Bell Prize 1999
- Based on Spectral Element Method
 - High order discretisation
 - Low numerical diffusivity
 - Good scaling properties









Spectral error estimator

- Sum of the truncation error and the quadrature error
- Local calculation performed within single spectral element
- Based on variable expansion in Legendre base

$$u_N^e(r) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n^e L_n(r),$$

Legendre coefficient approximation

$$a(n) = C e^{-\sigma n}$$

• Error estimate

$$\epsilon_{est} = \left(\frac{2a_N^2}{2N+1} + \int_{N+1}^{\infty} \frac{2[a(n)]^2}{2n+1} dn\right)^{1/2}$$



Legendre coefficient



Proc 1

Proc 0





- Adaptive, multi-block tree-code to manage a quad- or octree of non-overlapping fixed sized grids
- Parallel, highly scalable on realistic applications; up to 220320 cores with parallel efficiency 70%
- Refinement/coarsening performed locally
- Element based with no geometrical restriction
- www.p4est.org
- C. Burstedde, L. Wilcox, and O. Ghattas. p4est: Scalable algorithms for parallel adaptive mesh refinement on forests of octrees. SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 33(3):1103–1133, 2011



Proc 2

Burstedde, Wilcox, Ghattas, SISC, 2011

14.12.2023

Strong scaling on Dardel and LUMI

Performance of AMR run:

- 1024 cores
- Global element number (21 ref.)
 - 113952 Initial
 - Final 131781
- Total simulation time 85242 sec
- Total AMR time 1269 sec (1.5%)
- Percentage of different operations (AMR – 100%):
 - I/O 5.1%
 - 13.7% • Error estimate
 - Mesh regeneration 0.3%
 - Data transfer 0.5%
 - Solver restart 77.0%



14.12.2023





NACA0012 airfoil with rounded tip



Mesh structure and vortical flow features

14.12.2023



Flow lines of an averaged velocity field and position of a tip vortex



A simplified rotor with four blades



Domain regions covered by different refinement levels

Vortical structures





- High-order spectral element flow solver
 - Incompressible Navier-Stokes equations
 - Matrix-free formulation, small tensor products
 - Gather-scatter operations between elements

• Modern object-oriented approach (Fortran 2008)





Neko, Taylor-Green vortex, Re=5000



PEs

14.12.2023











Class diagram for mesh type

Mesh description:

- Compact and flexible
- Well suits conforming meshes
- Combines topology and geometry
- Connectivity defined by global id of a physical point
 - No easy way to describe hanging nodes (element local perspective needed)
- Straightforward to import conforming p4est data, but problem with nonconforming meshes







Class diagram for mesh type

14.12.2023

New mesh description:

- Flexible, but complex
- Supports nonconforming meshes
- Splits topology and geometry
- Distinguishes abstract object and its realisation (element local perspective)
- Straightforward to import nonconforming p4est data
- Under construction

AMR workflow:

- Collect error indicator on GPU
- Perform refinement and mesh reconstruction on CPU
- Reconstruct simulation variables on GPU
- Restart the solver



Schematic representation of element distribution among MPI ranks. Burstedde, Wilcox, Ghattas, SISC, 2011 Main challenges:

 Interpolation operator for direct stiffness summation

CEEC

- Frequent, performed on GPU
- Interpolation operator acting on a small amount of element faces and edges
- Four different 2D operators for faces and two 1D operators for edges
- Reconstruction of the simulation variables
 - Rare, performed on GPU
 - 3D interpolation operator acting on a small amount of elements
 - Requires communication
 - Three steps: refinement, global redistribution, coarsening
- Solver restart







Data exchange at nonconforming interface Fischer at al 2002

Communication kernels refactoring:

- Direct stiffness summation based on a single point information
- Nonconforming solver introduces interpolation operator acting on objects (element faces and edges)
- Challenging on GPUs as interpolation operator acts on a small data sets randomly distributed in a big array
- Work imbalance in the interpolation operator





Data exchange at nonconforming interface Fischer at al 2002



- Data for interpolation operation not contiguous and randomly ordered
- Performance will be dominated by memory latency
 - Necessary to have as many as possible memory operations overlapping to get maximum memory bandwidth
- Possible ways for data exchange:
 - Keep high point multiplicity and treat nonconforming interfaces separately
 - Lower face multiplicity by separately numbering each of the children faces



Funded by the European Union. This work has received funding from the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (JU) and Sweden, Germany, Spain, Greece, and Denmark under grant agreement No 101093393.





Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European High Performance Computing Joint Undertaking (JU) and Sweden, Germany, Spain, Greece, and Denmark. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.



Thank you for your attention!

